

# identity

Magazine of the British National Party

October 2007 - Issue 83 - £2.40


## *The BNP's Electoral Targets*

Eddy Butler and Nick Griffin  
write about the party's  
targets for the coming year

### *This Month*

The rise of  
English Nationalism

The global capitalist  
time bomb waiting  
to explode





# News

The story was dropped immediately after the initial court hearing, when Mahmud Makxoudin, aged-26, was charged with Andrew Holland's murder. This report was confined to the local press.



## BNP Confirmed as 4<sup>th</sup> Largest Party

There were 59 local by-elections across Britain during the three-month period June 1st to August 31<sup>st</sup>. The party averages in these elections were as follows:

Cons contested 57 seats averaging 38%.  
Lib-Dems contested 48 seats averaging 27%  
Lab contested 47 seats averaging 24%  
BNP contested 16 seats (winning one in Epping) averaging 16%,  
Greens contested 14 seats averaging 9%  
UKIP contested 17 seats averaging 6%

Since last May's local elections UKIP's share of the vote has fallen by 5%, compared with a fractional gain by the BNP. At the Epping by-election won by the BNP despite a heavy smear campaign by all other parties, UKIP were last of the six candidates with 2.4%.

## Immigrants ARE sent to Barking

The British National Party and its prospective Parliamentary Candidate for Barking, Richard Barnbrook, have been accused of lying by Labour MPs in saying that Westminster Council have been shipping homeless immigrants across London to dump them in Barking. Now comes confirmation that the BNP was right with a front page article in the 20<sup>th</sup> July issue of *West End Extra* headed "Homeless To Be Banished To Barking."

The paper reported that there are 3,000 homeless families in the Westminster Council area. Although the Council avoids the dreaded word 'immigrants', the people living in the area know that 90% at least of "homeless families" are immigrants. It revealed that: "Housing chiefs in Westminster Council have already started moving them out to Essex to massage the figures." Apparently it stems from the Government's targets of reducing those in temporary accommodation by 50%, in other words to spread immigrants around more. Shipping them to Barking is Westminster's way of meeting this.

## Migrant Benefit Claims Treble

Benefit claims by East Europeans have almost trebled in the past year, say the latest

Home Office figures. The cost - to almost 112,000 migrants - is £125 million a year. One in six of an estimated 683,000 East Europeans is living off the UK state.

As they have been allowed in as members of the EU in order to help our workforce, they should be returned to their homeland and not given benefits by the UK taxpayers. Yet 700 more migrants arrive every day from the former Soviet Bloc states.

## Malaysia Canes Illegal Immigrants

A Reuters report of August 7<sup>th</sup> says that Malaysia has caned dozens of illegal immigrants. In contrast, illegals in Britain usually end up with a council house. The BNP condemns both methods of treatment.

Malaysia is home to 2.7 million foreign workers, including 700,000 working illegally. The government's practice of caning criminals is under intense scrutiny after a video of a prison-yard caning session was posted on the internet. Malaysia views refugees as illegal immigrants since the country has yet to sign the 1951 Convention on the Status of Refugees, ratified by more than 140 nations including Britain.

"Anyone without a travel document is subject to caning, as prescribed by the Immigration Act," said the Immigration Department's enforcement chief, Ishak Mohamed.

## Muslims Killing White Youths Is Not News

The media has been dominated this past summer with extensive reports of the murders of young men and youths: mainly black on black and white on white, but rarely white on black or brown. Incidents of murderous attacks upon young whites by Muslim men are on the increase, although the media appears to be pursuing a common policy to keep such incidents hidden from the public.

A classic example of this was the initial publicity given to the murder of 16-year-old Andrew Holland outside a takeaway shop in Farnworth, Greater Manchester. He was stabbed in the neck following a dispute and his friend was also stabbed, and managed to survive after being taken in to intensive care.

## BNP and 'No to East London's Mega-Mosque'

More than 277,000 people have signed the petition on the Number 10 website that calls for the scrapping of plans to build Europe's largest mosque close to the main site of the 2012 Olympics. The mosque is backed by Tablighi Jamaat, the Islamic sect accused of having links with terror groups.

Responding to 'Unite Against Fascism' so-called information, London's *Evening Standard* ran a major article saying that the BNP had infiltrated the petition campaign and suggesting that it should therefore be ignored. "Downing Street should have blocked the petition over its use of inflammatory language" also echoed by Red Ken Livingstone. The 'inflammatory' language was:

"We, the Christian population of this great country England, would like the proposed plan to build a mega-mosque in east London scrapped. This will only cause terrible violence and suffering and more money should go into the NHS."

# identity


Editor: John Bean  
Design: Mark Collett  
October 2007  
Public reference:  
ISSN 1469-7971  
Subscriptions Dept:  
PO Box 87, Ossett,  
Wakefield, WF5 8WN  
Tel: 0870 75 73 267.  
Subscriptions@bnp.org.uk

Articles should be submitted to the addresses below in typed/electronic format:  
PO Box 97, Newmarket,  
Suffolk CB8 1WT.  
Email: identity@bnp.org.uk





# Whites Could Face Extinction



The BNP is perceived as an anti-immigration party, without being anti-immigrant *per se*, and concerned with the values of race, without being 'racist' in a pejorative sense. Nevertheless, the Party has also been in the forefront in calling for the nation's protection from the worst effects of global financial capitalism that now threaten British jobs, mortgages and pensions; advocating a halt to the escalation of crime by engendering more discipline in schools, homes and in its application by the Police; and to utilise alternative energy sources now that the world has reached 'Peak Oil'. Important as these issues are, we will still have time to rectify the situation whether it takes ten years or twenty years - and also to withdraw from the Marxist-inspired EU. We have not got that luxury, neither in Britain nor the West in general, if we are to save the white race and its culture from extinction by the flood tide of Afro-Asian immigration that will surely overwhelm us if it is not stopped. In 1900 33% of the world's population was white. Today it is 15% and by 2050 it will be down to 7%. That has always been my concern, even when today's raging immigration torrent was but a trickle - and not even noticed by Enoch Powell.

In April 1955 I produced my first issue of a duplicated paper called *National Unity*. In an article headed "Infiltration of the West" I led off with:

"The capital...was incessantly filled with subjects and strangers from every part of the world, who all introduced and enjoyed the favourite superstitions of their native country.' Thus Gibbons in his *Decline and Fall of the Roman Empire* described Rome in the third century A.D. as it entered its period of decline. This description could be as aptly applied to the capital of that second great Empire; the British Empire."

Significantly, as it is exactly the view held by the British National Party today, the article ended with: "We do not contend that biologically any one race is superior to another. The fact is we are all different! The African, the Asiatic and the European all have something to contribute to this world."

Our objection to mass immigration is based on the fact that a nation's way of life, way of thinking, acting and everything else that stems from its specific culture evolved from its people. History shows that whenever you replace a people with a hybrid stock then that culture dies.

How far that has gone in Britain is revealed by last month's statistics from the Office for National Statistics that one in four babies now born in the UK has a foreign mother or father. In London the figure was 53%. This does not take into account the large number born of descendants of former immigrants. It is generally accepted that the average white British female produces 1.6 children, which is below the sustaining level of 2.1. The

reproduction rate for the average Asian woman in this country is 2.8 (in certain sub-groups it is very much higher).

A team of demographers from Manchester University has claimed that the number of white people living in Birmingham, Britain's second biggest city, will be overtaken by those with other ethnic origins by 2027. One fifth of the total population (without any further immigration) will be of Pakistani origin. In just four years time Leicester will be our first city in which white people will be a minority. As it is, 35 towns and cities in Britain have at least one ward which is "minority white".

In July the Department for Work and Pensions revealed that more than two million foreign nationals have been issued with National Insurance numbers to work in Britain in the past four years. A third were allocated to east Europeans, but the rest were for workers from Asia, the Middle East and Africa. Prior to July we were told that in the last *ten* years the total number of immigrants was two million, so somebody has been economical with the truth.

Space does not allow for details on the economic pressures brought by mass immigration - housing, the NHS, wage levels, the overcrowded environment - but in any case these economic issues are secondary to Afro-Asian immigration's demographic threat.

The answer to the problem is nothing less than the BNP's call to end all further mass immigration, deport all illegal immigrants and those who have broken the law, with generous resettlement grants available for any who wish to return to ancestral lands.

The latest gestures from the Lib-Lab-Cons, in response to the growing BNP vote, are meaningless but might deceive some voters. Gordon Brown's nonsense to make immigrants learn English would only dent the 200,000 a year influx. As for Cameron, his immigration policy is summed up in these words to a *Telegraph* interviewer: "It needs to be better controlled." Colonel Custer possibly said something similar when trying to defend his troops against the Red Indians at the Little Bighorn.

## WARNING

A warning given by Algerian President Houari Boumedienne in a speech to the United Nations General Assembly in 1974:

"One day millions of men will leave the southern hemisphere of this planet to burst into the northern one. But not as friends. Because they will burst in to conquer, and they will conquer by populating it with their children. Victory will come to us from the wombs of our women."

John Bean



The first thing to accept is that young radical parties don't win General Elections, although if they get their approach to such contests wrong the resulting failure can destroy them. The trick therefore is to get through the coming General Election - whenever it may be - with as many benefits and as few costs as possible. It is vital to bear in mind both the Law of Diminishing Returns and the fact that the benefits that count most for us are long-term ones that may not even become apparent until years after the detailed results of the election itself are long forgotten.

So let's look first at the issue of cost. To be honest, with the exception of the very newest ones, any competently organised BNP Group should be able to raise the £2,000 we need for a Standard Campaign in their constituency, while the £800 Basic Campaign is within the reach of just a few dedicated friends chipping in among themselves. Which is fortunate because fighting a good number of these is the key to pushing up our total national vote, since they will allow us to get out more of the growing hardcore voters who we know are desperate to vote for us just so long as we are on the ballot paper.

In each case, therefore, we could very easily raise and spend more. But the point is that we can't afford to, and that throwing more at each constituency would inevitably raise the average cost per vote, and our overall expenditure, way past what is prudent. Those sums are chosen precisely because they are at the lower end of what is easily possible, for it is imperative that we keep some of our powder and all our sacrificial capabilities dry and intact for the really winnable elections looming up ahead: Next year's Greater London Assembly campaign and the European Elections of June 2009.

The discipline to hold some of our fire until we're close enough to the winnable contests to see the whites of our opponents'



# The Big Picture Behind Our Electoral Targets

» To confirm our status as a serious national party, to get our supporters into the habit of voting BNP; to build and test our parliamentary target seat machine for a future crucial by-election, to hammer our civic nationalist 'rivals' and to maximise both recruitment and our total vote - without spending any more money than we absolutely have to in a contest where our political guerrilla army is outgunned and cannot afford a frontal assault. While Eddy Butler explains how we intend to achieve these key General Election aims elsewhere in this issue, Nick Griffin takes a more in-depth look at several of the 'Big Picture' factors underlying our decisions.





eyes is absolutely crucial. Fortunately such nerve and restraint is what we Brits are traditionally good at, and I've no doubt that the distant appearance of unhittable enemy targets will be a temptation that everyone will have the sense to resist.

Even so, a plausible figure of, say, 80 Standard and 80 Basic Campaigns would cost £224,000, which by the time we've added on essential central costs such as broadcasts and extra administration, and additional local expenditure such as van hire for collecting and delivering leaflets, will give us no change at all from a quarter of a million pounds.

In case that frightening collective figure fails to convince a few optimists that we can't afford to get carried away, here is another simple sum: In addition to their own salary of £50,000 plus (part of which will be firmly pledged in advance to the BNP), each Greater London Assembly member is worth a cool £100,000 a year in

terms of extra organisational salaries and spending to their party. Provided we have enough money to run a good campaign (at least £50,000 by the end of next March) the opposition fear that we could win two or even three seats in that PR contest.

Add to that financial windfall the inevitable hysteria over such a BNP breakthrough from Ken Livingstone, the entire political elite and the media. Then add in on top of that the fact that such extra income and organisational clout would enable us to begin to go back into the Occupied Territories of inner London. While these areas are lost to us in terms of first-past-the-post electioneering, our people remain in virtually all of them the largest minority among a population of minorities.

An important left-liberal study of 'community relations' in such areas, *The New East End*, last year found a huge amount of resentment among the utterly neglected indigenous inhabitants of these

areas at what has been done to them and over the break-up of their traditional communities. Especially as harder economic times sharpen the competition between the different constituent parts of the 'multi-cultural paradise,' such sentiments are the perfect breeding ground for a new type of English/White ethnic consciousness.

Minorities, properly encouraged and organised, are always fiercer upholders of their identity than majorities. This is particularly true of oppressed minorities, and there is no bigger or more oppressed minority in modern Britain than the 'invisible ghosts' of the old population stubbornly holding on in the places where they are now foreigners in their own streets.

Win in London next year, and we will begin to have the wherewithal and the clout to go back into these areas. Not as a first-past-the-post election machine, but as the spark and guide for community groups, campaigns against discrimination and neglect, English-only neighbourhood clusters for Internet schools - all the myriad of ways in which the traditional methods by which minorities rebuild and retain their confidence and identity can be married up with modern information technology.

Total it all up and you'll see why winning in London next year would be the perfect springboard for us to make an even bigger advance into Europe (spanners in hand!) the following year, and beyond that as the tide of history runs ever quicker against the poisonous old liberal orthodoxy. And, in turn, you'll see why in this election we have to walk successfully the tightrope between a more widespread presence than ever and over-ambitious over-reach.

## FUTURE GAINS

So with the question of costs already replaced by potential future gains, what other benefits do we expect to gain from contesting the General Election?

To a certain extent, we have to fight more seats than ever before just because it's what growing parties with serious ambitions are expected to do. Simply doing it puts us more firmly into the collective national consciousness as a legitimate part of the political system.

But there is more to it than that. Where we can afford an election address the Post Office Freepost is worth some £8,000 per constituency - or a huge amount of activists' time and shoe-leather. The TV and radio broadcasts, although less important than they used to be, are particularly significant in terms of influencing the consciously political minority who bother to watch such things, and who talk about them to others having done so.

Then there are the recruits to be had from the leaflets, the broadcasts and the press adverts and coverage. These are always far fewer than logic would lead us to



expect, primarily because most "people join people" rather than abstract sets of ideas about which they make their own minds. But those who are the type to make up their own minds are particularly valuable, being as they are the typical 'live-wire' local organisers and community leaders on which successful new Branches are built.

What kind of public reception will these newcomers find when they begin to have a significant impact on our campaigning ability in the months and years after the election? This question brings us to another potential advantage to be gained, although only if we deliberately - even cynically - position ourselves for maximum benefit.

In every election, the public - even those who do not vote, or who vote for another contender - slightly revise their individual or collective opinion of each party in the light not just of what it and its opponents say about it, but also on the basis of the people they see standing up to represent it.

This is true even for the Establishment parties: Are the Tories still mainly old reactionary Colonel Blimps? (We hope not, for we'd rather Middle England concludes that they're a collection of ultra-liberal spoilt brat Old Etonians with soft-spots for Rastafarians and Muslims). Are Labour still 'New' and full of brash young lawyers, or are they reverting back to their old type? Are the Lib Dems still a flim-flam party of lightweight opportunists or are they putting forward candidates fit to govern the country?

And, of course, it's even truer for us, not only because we're the new kids on the block but because we're the most demonised party in modern British political history. The public expect us to be either skinhead thugs or, at best at present, 'Brutes in Suits'. So the at-a-glance, first impression image given by the photographs of our candidates on their election addresses where we have them, and in local newspaper adverts and Election Round-Up articles where we do not, will be even more important for us than for anybody else.

#### MORE AND BETTER


The more candidates we stand the more this largely subconscious rebranding exercise will take effect - if, that is, we stand the right sort of candidates. In a local council election, in many areas, the right candidate these days is the one who is the most likely to do a good job for local people over the next four years. But in a General Election the question of who would in fact be the best for a job that we're not going to get anyway is an irrelevant distraction.

Far, far more important is to pick a candidate who, by the most basic distinctions of age, sex and instantly understood record, most confounds the indoctrinated public's negative stereotypes. Hence even a completely respectable and

well-educated male below the age of fifty will, in the forthcoming General Election, as a general rule be an inferior candidate to a woman, an active pensioner, an ex-Services veteran complete with medals (including from recent wars), or someone with a physical disability.

The only male who can trump any of those 'qualifications' is a good local BNP councillor, since in his case the kudos that comes from already being a winner and a servant of the community beats the advantage of coming from an unexpected category which defies the negative stereotypes. The edge that a robust, ultra-articulate, non-councillor Alpha Male would have, particularly in terms of extra campaigning energy, is irrelevant in a constituency of some 70,000 voters.

Let me make it absolutely plain that this is not some BNP version of Politically Correct sex and disability quotas. It has nothing to do with who we would actually like to sit in Parliament for us; in many cases - almost certainly the majority, for that would simply reflect the realities of inborn human nature - that would be well educated and already successful white males. It is simply about having as many candidates as possible who, simply by being what they are, will help to 'soften' our rough image and so help to open the hearts and minds of more ordinary people towards us. It is not



If you want to upset Red Ken, the best way to go about it is by voting BNP!

about what we would do when we win, but merely about helping to create the climate of opinion in which we *can* win.

One of the most important findings of the extensive research by the far-left Joseph Rowntree Trust into why people vote BNP has been their conclusion that the biggest single factor in our favour in any of our campaigns is the confusion that voters feel when a BNP canvasser knocks on their door and confounds the 'race-hate thug' image that all the opposition propaganda has led them to expect.

Note that it's not the canvassing itself that has this effect, but the gap between expectation and reality. It is this gap that breaks through the conditioning and makes the voters think for themselves. Once they do that, we're almost there, because our policies are fundamentally only what the vast majority of ordinary people want in any case, and once they conclude that our opponents have been lying to them about us, such negatives as they hear in future are likely to be water off a duck's back.

Psychologists call this process cognitive dissonance, and recognise the sudden mental shock of encountering such a surprising contrast between instilled expectation and actual reality as one of the most powerful factors for changing people's minds on just about every single subject under the sun.



Naturally, the first impressions images of our candidates alone will not change the minds of the entire electorate *en masse* in one go, but it will be a start everywhere the right candidates for this election are found or allowed to stand. That start must then be followed up with efforts to play on the second huge area where cognitive dissonance can work particularly effectively: It's not just that we don't look like the negative stereotypes the public have been told to expect, we must act totally differently as well.

At this point we're up against not just the deceitful stereotyping to which the liberal-left media have subjected us in particular, but also against the genuinely negative experiences that most voters have had of all politicians and political parties in general. "You're all the same", Joe Public will say as he slams the door not just on you but on anyone campaigning for Lib-Lab-Con as well. "You only come round when you want our votes; you don't give a damn about us the rest of the time."

This is what makes BNP-inspired social work in communities at least equal in importance to overtly political work. It doesn't matter that the media won't let us on people's TVs if instead we're on their doorsteps doing something useful for them and their community. Many don't want even local political work and won't even be moved by ultra-effective political arguments, since a huge block of the population is at present totally immune to politics.

We can only overcome that immunity if we first show that we are different from what they expect from politicians; in fact ideally they should forget that we are politicians at all, coming to see us instead as part of their community - insiders, of them, rather than outsiders like the others. People, not politicians.

Such tactics would work in any community at any time, but fortunately they will have an added impact in today's drastically alienated, demoralised and atomised Britain. For the desire to belong, the need to be part of something bigger, the need to do something worthwhile for your own group, are some of the strongest urges in human nature. Urges and basic human rights which our people are ruthlessly denied, in every way possible in every aspect of their lives.

For young English males in particular,

from the moment they first watch children's TV, during their school days and right through to when they find that the whole jobs market is weighted against them, their lives are one long Calvary of rejection, ridicule, powerlessness and worthlessness. Educational failure, drugs, gangs and nihilistic crime are the almost inevitable results.

And so too is the possibility - by showing them that individuals can make a difference to their communities, by proving by example that they do have a future and can help to form it - that this Lost Generation can find out that, as the saying on the sticker says "England is for life, not just football." Give just a few of the young men of a community motivation, confidence and examples of successful action in defence of their rights and the well-being of those around them, and the community will come back to life.

### APATHY A SYMPTOM

There is no point saying that 'they' are too stupid or too lazy or too happy on weed and Special Brew. Such things may very often be true, but not always, and in any case such selfish apathy is a symptom rather than the underlying problem. Views to the contrary are themselves the result primarily of media conditioning that affects even us, the conscious minority; "Chav" is a racist slur directed against our people and designed to drive a wedge between different sections of our population. If you want to know the motivation behind its constant use as a dehumanising label, think of an equivalent term for a non-white ethnic group and ask yourself if the media would ever use it?

The same problems - often born of despair in the first place - are encountered in the Black and Muslim ghettos. But groups like the Nation of Islam, black evangelical churches and hardline Islamic sects take no notice of the labels. They look at their lost youngsters and see souls to be saved and future front-line soldiers for their various Causes. We look at ours and shy away as we've been conditioned to by the liberal capitalist media. And then we wonder why, street by street, school by school and prison by prison, the Others are able to dominate the contested public spaces of our land.

Nor is it just the "bad lads" who the fracturing and alienation of our society

leads us to tend to neglect. Look at any BNP meeting: Even there the newcomers, people who have just made a huge leap of faith simply to cross the threshold, tend to be left alone as the 'old hands' chat among themselves in our own sub-cultural language: *VoFs*, canvass returns, polling stations, turnouts.... if you want to know how left out they must feel, imagine you attending a meeting where a group of old ladies are discussing the technicalities of knitting. Even if it's freezing cold and you need a jumper, you're likely to be terminally bored, if not actively intimidated by their superior knowledge.

To get over this, and to continue the process of normalisation which will be helped along by the widespread adoption of 'soft focus' candidates, we need to organise barbecues for normal members and invited guests, run days out for the families of members and invite non-BNP guests along, organise quiz nights at the pub, encourage members to covertly join or form community groups, act as school governors, set up campaigns for a decent playground for local children or a drop-in centre for local pensioners, and so on. In politics as in design, "less is often more", and subtlety and honey catch more flies than fly swats or vinegar.

The way in which this article has moved so seamlessly from discussing the specifics of the General Election and into much broader and longer term fields should itself serve as an illustration of the extent to which that election is merely one step on a much longer road. As such it must be approached with a degree of detachment from short-term and essentially personal concerns such as getting a marginally bigger vote in any particular place, or who is to be the candidate in a contest that the public will almost have completely forgotten within a week of polling day.

It is the longer term goals, and the extent to which the election itself can help us to reach them, that we must keep in sight. If this article has helped to clarify those things in your mind, if you can now give the contest - whether it has been called by the time you read this or whether Gordon Brown have yet again displayed the cowardice for which he was noted during the Blair years - precisely the right amount of effort, no more and no less, then my time in writing this, and yours in reading it, will have been time well spent.

**RIGHT IMAGE: BNP councillors from London**





Looking at all future elections mention should also be made of the County Council elections due to be held on May 2009. In the recent past the County Council elections have been overshadowed as they have been held on the same day as the General Election. This first happened in 1997. County Council elections are held every four years. The maximum term for a Parliament between General Elections is five years, but Tony Blair developed the habit of choosing to go after four years. So the General Elections in 2001 and 2005 were also held on the same day as the County Council elections.

It is usual for Prime Ministers to choose to call a General Election some time before the five year maximum expires. This gives them political room to manoeuvre in allowing them to choose the most advantageous time. The Thatcher government favoured going after the yearly round of local elections, using them as a barometer to test public opinion before calling the General Election (hence the 1983 and 1987 elections were held in June). Thatcher also used the rule of thumb that she wanted to see a healthy opinion poll lead sustained for a solid six months before she would call an election. The danger for a beleaguered Prime Minister is that a poor local election performance can make you look like a bunch of losers and so compel you to delay calling a General Election in the hope that there is a swing in political fortunes (which is why John Major went before the May elections in 1992 the General Election was in April).

A study of the timing of General Elections shows that the closer it gets to five years between elections, the weaker the Prime Minister's hold on power and the worst electoral beating they received. Think of John Major losing to Blair by a landslide in 1997 (after going the full five years) or Callaghan losing to Thatcher in 1979 (after nearly the full five years).

This hopefully illustrates the lack of certainty as to when the next General Election will be called. By the time you are reading this it may in fact already have been called. However, if Blair's 'four year rule' is observed the General Election will be in May or June 2009 on the same day as the County Council Elections and/or EU Elections. The obvious difference now is that Brown is Prime Minister. He can use the excuse that he needs the political legitimacy of a General Election win under his belt and so go early. May 2008 - perhaps the most widely expected date - would be only 3 years after the last General Election. We just don't know and it is pointless for us to speculate further. All we can say is that the next General Election will be before May 2010.


#### GENERAL ELECTION NOT A KEY DATE

We will be contesting the General Election in as vigorous, comprehensive and as professional a manner as possible. However it should not be regarded as a key date on our electoral horizon - not least because we do not know the date! As we know the key events are the London Mayoral and Assembly Elections, the Local Elections and then the big potential prize of the European Elections. The General Election is an unavoidable intrusion in this progression. It is a contest that we are compelled to engage in and from which we must come out with credit so that it enhances rather than damages our prospects in the European Election.

At the last General Election our strategy was to fight a good number of seats in order to give us electoral credibility. We wanted to fight our best seats in order to give ourselves the best average percentage possible. In order to select these seats we strictly used the results available to us from the previous European Elections and other local election contests (as a result some units wrongly felt penalised when they were not 'allowed' to field candidates). We also wanted to fight some regional target constituencies to get some good localised votes and hopefully a few second places. We achieved nearly all our objectives. We fought over 100 seats and so qualified for TV and radio broadcasts and ensured that we were taken seriously as a contender. We had a good average percentage vote that allowed us to claim to be the fourth largest party (our average vote was higher than the average

vote for the Greens or UKIP for example.) We had some very considerable votes in our target seats, the best being in Barking where we were a mere handful of votes away from getting second place (the only objective we didn't meet). Put simply, it was easily the best ever General Election performance by a Nationalist party in Britain.


So what is our strategy for the next General Election? We must fight more seats than last time to show progress and growth. We are compelled to do this as if we were to fight fewer, perhaps for sound tactical reasons, it would appear that we have gone backwards organisationally. It is essential that we do all we can to keep up our momentum in the build up to the European Elections. In fact the Party has made great strides since the last General Election both internally (for example in the number and quality of units we have, and the degree of internal training that is taking place) and externally (our public profile - the degree to which the voters take us seriously - which can be measured or



## The BNP's Pr Electoral Ta

» Eddy Butler, National Elections Officer, emphasises that despite our interest in the next General Election, the key electoral dates on our horizon are next year's London Mayoral and Assembly election (3rd May 2008) and the European Election in June 2009.





supporters of our Party have never previously failed to meet head on.

What sort of money are we talking about? First of all there is the deposit, which is £500 per seat - returnable if we get over 5% for the specific seat. We will be producing full colour A4 glossy election addresses and these will average around £1,200 per constituency. A further £300 (conservatively) should be allowed for supplementary leaflets and for a newspaper advertisement. That makes £2,000 for a decent campaign.

For a basic campaign units should budget for £800 - that is enough for the deposit and some basic leafleting (but not a main election address).

Head Office will be producing the election addresses, a colour A5 back up leaflet and calling cards. A special information pack will be prepared detailing the procedures for delivering these addresses to the Post Office for free delivery. There is a manifesto to produce and a press launch to arrange. The television and radio broadcasts must be made. Head Office will design a series of standard newspaper advertisements that can be inserted in the local press (most newspapers now accept our advertisements). Newspapers also routinely ask for candidates photographs together with 150 words detailing policies (or 300 words or 200 words or whatever). We will be producing centrally these policy statements in a number of different lengths and making them available to local organisers. Lastly Head Office will also be dealing with the thousands of new enquiries that we can expect to come in as a result of our campaigns.

The only other expense that Head Office will be involved in will relate to the Target Seat - of which more later. If a unit publicly commits to fight a particular seat and then finds it does not have sufficient funds then Head Office will not bail it out under any circumstance whatsoever. Everyone must be crystal clear on this at the outset. "Do not ask for credit, as refusal often offends."

#### DAYS OF ACTION

Regions should not get involved in helping to fund local seats either. It is appropriate for Regions to co-ordinate days of action, to arrange for a loudspeaker lorry, decorated with placards, flags and banners, to tour a number of seats that are close together. Regional Officers also have a vital role to play in training local units in electoral practices, in vetting the seats being contested and the candidates selected, and in coordinating the information that needs to be provided to the Publicity Department for the preparation of the election addresses. Indeed the Publicity Department will only accept submissions for election addresses that are channeled through the appropriate Regional Officer.

As local units have the onus of paying for their campaigns it is only appropriate that local units chose which seats they wish to fight and what candidates they wish to select. Of course there will be the usual scrutiny over candidates by the Regional Nominating Officers. Each candidate must complete an updated internal Candidate's Declaration form. Making false statements on the form will be a serious disciplinary offence. We obviously would encourage units to contest seats where they regularly contest local elections. One important objective of our General Election campaign is to cement the BNP voting habit that is developing in many parts of the country. If independent benefactors wish to fund a local seats of their choice then that is also perfectly acceptable, provided they are on the Electoral Roll.

This is in contrast to the last General Election where we deliberately had to put the brakes on certain units to stop them fighting seats. This time there will effectively be a free market. As with most 'markets' the limit is cost. If you can raise the money - or if a generous donor is willing to fund a campaign, then we will stand in that seat. We may lose out on average percentage scores but that will be a price worth paying in getting through the General Election campaign as a whole, with more seats being contested than last time and without our resources being totally

demonstrated by our improving average performance in local by-elections and the larger number of councillors we have).

While fighting as many seats as possible it is vital that we conserve our resources where possible as the European Elections will be hideously expensive. Accordingly local units are going to be expected to completely self-fund their General Election campaigns. Head Office and our Regions are going to have to start (in fact most Regions have already started) building up funds and infrastructure for the Euro-Elections. Incidentally this will involve levying regular contributions from Branches and Groups to their regions. So besides raising funds for their General Election efforts, our units will concurrently have to assist in raising funds for the Euro-Elections. This juggling with priorities is a constant problem for a party that does not receive vast funds from the State (as the other parties effectively do) or from big global business concerns. It is a fact of political life that we simply have to live with and get on with. But it is a challenge that the good hearted members and





exhausted prior to the European Elections.

Lastly there will be a target seat which will be the focus for our campaign. It is only necessary for one target seat. Anything more would be a waste of resources (target seats eat money) and everything we can learn or benefit from can be obtained from one seat. A strong showing in that target seat will in fact more than compensate for a possible weaker showing on average in the rest of our seats compared to last time.

#### TARGET BARKING

We can announce straight away that the target seat is Barking and the prospective candidate is Richard Barnbrook. This is the seat (and candidate) where we got the best result last time. Since then the seat has been improved (from our point of view) by boundary changes which have incorporated a number of excellent wards that were in the Dagenham constituency. This seat will get better Election Addresses and more days of action compared to other constituencies we contest. Indeed we will effectively treat this one contest as if it were a Parliamentary by-election. We will use it as a testing ground for various techniques and put into practice lessons learnt in the Sedgefield by-election. This experience should prove invaluable for when we next contest a genuine Parliamentary by-election. This target campaign will also dovetail with our London Mayoral and Assembly campaign where Richard Barnbrook has been selected as our Mayoral candidate.

Last time we were a few tantalising votes short of second place in Barking. This time, with a better constituency boundary, with 12 councillors and our candidate being Leader of the Opposition on the local council, we fully expect to give the odious sitting M. P. Margaret Hodge a real run for her money.

We don't know when the General Election will be. But everyone in the Party must understand what our strategy is and start planning immediately so that everyone can play their part in making it a success. If events unfold in such a way that we feel would be advantageous to us then we can up the stakes. But now is the time to make your plans and for you - the local members activists and officers of the Party - to make things happen!

## Free Speech Anniversary Celebration



**Saturday 3rd November  
8.00pm till late**

Join Nick Griffin, Mark Collett and other invited guests at the first annual Freedom of Speech celebration held in the East Midlands. The event will feature a licensed bar, music and live entertainment.

Tickets are available now from Carol Collett.

**Tickets  
£7.50**

**Call 0116 230 3814**



# Islam - the New Religion of the State

» We are indebted to WorldNetDaily.com based in the USA for this worrying report posted on August 2<sup>nd</sup> 2007 under the heading 'Islamic Education for all'. To our knowledge, it was not reported in any British national newspaper.

A new Government study is being condemned by the Christian ministry the Barnabas Fund because its proposals would move closer to imposing Islam in the United Kingdom as "a religion of state."

Among the proposals from the study being considered for implementation is the provision by universities for Islamic studies for all students.

The report was initiated by Bill Rammell, the minister of state for higher education and lifelong learning, officials said. He appointed Ataullah Siddiqui, senior research fellow at the Islamic Foundation, to write it.

The Barnabas Fund, in an analysis, said the report "signals another step toward the Islamisation of Britain and its education system."

"Should this report be implemented, education will be handed over more and more to Muslims who will train and shape the next generation," the analysis said.

The Barnabas Fund, which works primarily to defend Christians in Muslim-majority environments by channelling money from Christians, through Christians to Christians for projects developed by local bodies of believers, said the appointment of Siddiqui, at the outset, signalled a problem.

## ISLAMIST FRONT

"It is well known that the Islamic Foundation is an Islamist institute founded by high ranking members of the Pakistani Islamist party, Jama'at-I Islami," the group said. "However, in answer to questions in the House of Commons about possible links between Ataullah Siddiqui and Jama'at-i-Islami, Rammell stated that "Dr Siddiqui has assured me categorically that he has no links to the Jamaat-e-Islami Party." This reveals that Rammell does not understand how Islamists use dissimulation (taqiyya) to hide their real goals while claiming to be moderate and liberal," the analysis explained.

Among the other recommendations are that universities should employ Muslim scholars to teach Islamic theology, all universities must employ Muslim chaplains and provide Muslim prayer rooms, Islamic Student Societies should be better recognised and encouraged, and universities should cooperate with Islamic schools and colleges to break down the divisions between British society and the Muslim community.

The study also recommended that Islamic studies should be linked to job opportunities such as teaching, chaplaincy and Islamic banking, and guidance should be given to all universities on Friday prayers, Ramadan and halal food.

The Barnabas Fund said it's simply a demand for a "privileged position for Islam in the universities."

"It would seem to aim at transforming Islamic studies in Britain into a Muslim monopoly, a Muslim enclave in which the vast majority of staff and students are Muslim. It is implied that non-Muslim scholars cannot teach Islam because they do not unquestioningly accept its basic premises regarding the revelatory nature and divine authority of *Quran* and *Hadith*."

If that happens, the teaching faculty soon would be limited to Muslim and Islamist lecturers, the group said.

"It is most likely that censorship would develop, affecting choice of staff, teaching methods and acceptable subjects for research and publication," the group warned.

It's a part of the larger goal, the Barnabas Fund said. "The aim is to expand Islamic domination into all spheres. The whole system of Western academic education must, say the Islamists, be recast and remolded on Islamic lines as it is tainted by Christian and pagan influences."

"Implementing these recommendations, as the British government has promised to do, would be likely to narrow the scope of university Islamic studies and make them more intolerant and radical," the critique said.

The organisation said one of its goals is to inform and enable Christians in the West to respond to the growing challenge of Islam to the church, society and mission.

Reports said the government already has pledged several million pounds to universities in order to boost Islamic studies.



Saturday morning registration saw 122 activists signing for what was set to be another successful BNP training event.

Activists didn't leave empty handed either, they were all given a 40 page booklet detailing all of information from the weekend's talks which could be used as a vital reference for the coming year.

Nick Griffin gives the opening address to a packed summer school set in the beautiful English countryside.

# Summer School

The second annual BNP Summer School was held in a park-like setting in Nottinghamshire. The weather was fantastic, clearly vindicating our earlier decision to postpone the event in washed-out summer.

Saturday saw attendees separate into small focus groups based in marquees, speakers rotated around the marquees talking on different issues from local publicity material, to dealing with the press.

On Saturday evening National Treasurer John Walker put on an excellent night of karaoke and entertainment which was accompanied by a BBQ.

Sunday saw a series of focus groups discussing how the party could improve its structure and the way it deals with branches and groups. These were well received and the constructive criticisms raised will help to build a better party for all involved.

Adam Walker, brother of the suspended BNP teacher Mark Walker, tells the audience of the on-going struggle for nationalist workers' rights through Solidarity, the British Workers' Union.

Assembled activists applaud during the opening speeches. The conference was well attended and those present were given much to take back to their branches and groups. Special thanks to Sadie Graham for organising the event hosts Mr and Mrs Otter, the set-up team and the Vanns family for the catering.



# 2007

London Mayoral candidate Richard Barnbrook discussed the use of questionnaires to help organisers uncover the issues affecting local people in their target wards, and to collect the information needed to appeal to individual voters on their particular personal concerns

Steve Blake discusses advanced computer skills which will enable branches to deal with information more effectively and securely.

Leicestershire Elections Officer Wayne McDermott talks about successful electioneering strategies. Wayne was the mastermind behind several of our East Midlands council seats gains at the last round of elections.

Arthur Kemp grips the audience with a well informed speech on how local organisers and candidates should deal with the press.

Entitled "Are You A Racist?" the talk dealt with major questions that are often asked by the press, and the most effective answers.



# The Rise of English Nationalism

The majority of British National Party members, certainly members dating back more than two or three years, generally refer to themselves, if pushed, as British Nationalists. I like to think the majority of BNP members are still British Nationalists. I certainly consider myself a British Nationalist, which I imagine for most means that within our ideological position we encompass and make room for all the nations within the United Kingdom as a whole community with recognisable shared cultural bonds, and crucially we recognise the same indigenous native stock within the United Kingdom.

Naturally, as British Nationalists, we are opposed to the break-up of the UK. The idea of breaking up England into Regional Assemblies has many facets and agendas that are aimed at undermining England. The European Union's initial plan was the establishment of nine Regional Assemblies within England, where four representatives from each region sit on the European Committee of the Regions in Brussels. Again, naturally we oppose the break-up of England.

As a party we also historically opposed devolution and the break-up of the Union. We are observant that the false-flag so-called 'nationalists' in respect of Scotland (Scottish National Party) and Wales (Plaid Cymru) are not in any way whatsoever nationalistic in the true meaning of the word; they are merely riding the crest of a populist wave of nationalist sentiment, and on the back of media-hype supporting devolution, inflaming tensions between the English, Scottish and Welsh in a very transparent agenda to split up the Union.

Last year however, the BNP voted by a large majority for a policy shift which now states that the BNP believes England should have its own Parliament. This was the correct decision for the party, and a decision, if used and implemented correctly,

» David Hannam looks at the growing rise of English Nationalism, and how this new political movement will affect the BNP in terms of how we approach voters, and how we come to terms with the growth of Scottish Nationalism and the need to accept that in terms of our electoral potential England and Scotland are already entirely different countries.

that will have huge implications for the survival of the BNP. It is not sufficient for the party to make the token gesture in support of the establishing of an English parliament but, as this issue garners support and interest, we need to espouse our position more clearly to the public when engaging with them.

The rise of modern Scottish Nationalism has created a headache for the ideologically sound BNP. All recent polls





show an ever-increasing grassroots support from the Scottish people for Scotland's independence.

Many of our well meaning members state that no such support exists. They say it is a fabrication, a lie, and at grassroots level the support for Scottish Independence is not there. This is however merely burying the head of the BNP deeply in the sand of potential future political obscurity.

#### THE RISE OF ENGLISH NATIONALISM

The question could be: Is English Nationalism a valid historical movement, or is it simply a reaction to the establishment of new devolved Parliaments in Scotland,

Wales and Northern Ireland? Or is it a general feeling of English antagonism on the 'West Lothian Question'? i.e. Scottish, Welsh and Northern Ireland MPs can vote on matters of English law, but those laws do not affect their respective constituencies.

The truth is that since the Act of Union 1707, there has not been an English Nationalist movement of any large degree until now. Even now, this movement is in the very embryonic stages of its development. However, it is set to explode, and all indications at the moment are pointing to a state-inspired and state-run new political party, much like UKIP, which will act as a safety valve for the increasing feeling of English nationalism. At the

## What the Public Say...

A recent ICM Poll for the *Daily Mail* conducted in Scotland between January 5<sup>th</sup> 7<sup>th</sup> 2007 showed these startling findings:

**Q. Would you approve or disapprove of Scotland becoming an independent country?**

	England	Scotland
Approve	48%	51%
Disapprove	39%	36%
Don't know	12%	14%

Another question asked in this poll was: **There is now a Scottish Parliament and a Devolved Assembly in Wales and Northern Ireland. Do you think there should or shouldn't be a parliament for England only?** 51% said 'Yes', 41% of English people said 'No', and 7% 'Don't know'. Interestingly, a more resounding majority of 58% of Scots thought that England should have its own parliament.

Here below is a ten year ICM Poll History on the issue of Scottish Independence:

**"In a Referendum on independence for Scotland, how would you vote?"**

- I agree that Scotland should become an independent country.
- I do not agree that Scotland should become an independent country.
- Don't Know.

From the ten year poll records nobody can deny that there is a solid voice calling for Scottish Independence. *The Telegraph* published its findings for a *Telegraph* ICM poll in late 2006. It also found 52% Scottish support for independence. However, there was a far more interesting finding. 68% of English voters in the poll supported the establishment of an English parliament.

Date of Poll	Yes	No	DK	Predicted Yes	For a Referendum
Feb-07	44%	42%	11%	51%	
Jan-07	51%	36%	14%	59%	
Nov-06	51%	39%	9%	57%	
Jan-00	47%	43%	9%	52%	
Apr-99	47%	44%	9%	52%	
Mar-99	42%	47%	11%	47%	
Feb-99	44%	47%	9%	48%	74%
Jan-99	49%	42%	9%	54%	74%
Nov-98	49%	43%	8%	53%	
September 1998 (25th)	48%	37%	15%	57%	69%
September 1998 (5th)	51%	38%	10%	57%	79%
July 1998 (31st)	49%	44%	7%	53%	
June 1998 (29th)	56%	35%	9%	62%	
Jun-98	48%	37%	15%	56%	
June 1998 (5th)	52%	41%	7%	56%	69%



moment, there is the potential for a party to come from nowhere and gain the English nationalist vote, thus inevitably taking huge numbers of votes away from the BNP. Fortunately for the BNP, right now any embryo movement is disorganised. The English Democrats are completely hopeless, with shockingly bad leadership and are certainly not organised at community level like the BNP. They do however remain a possibility if the Establishment seek a safety valve to invest in, but currently their only high profile figure is *Sun* Columnist Garry Bushell who is standing for the English Democrats in the coming London Mayoral Election.

There is the English First Party, who are so badly infiltrated by cranks, reds and assorted misfits, and shambolically run with a mere 50+ members that they do not pose a threat in the least.

But despite the present lack of a credible electoral vehicle, it doesn't actually matter if the rise of English Nationalism has a valid historical right, or whether it is a reaction to devolved parliaments, the only factor that matters is that the feeling is there - and it is growing fast!

### THE RISE OF THE SNP

And with the rise of the SNP, and its leader's constant push for a referendum put to the Scottish people for independence, and with all polls suggesting that they will indeed get the 'Yes' vote in favour of independence, English Nationalism is only set to get bigger and bigger, with recent polls suggesting 68% of English people would back the establishment of an English parliament, the change of policy for the BNP supporting its formation is a timely wise one.

There is of course an extremely pleasing aspect to the rise of English Nationalism, and that is its very inherent 'ethnic' or 'racial' overtones. It is essentially Ethnic English Nationalism.

The word 'British' has been for the most part hijacked by the mainstream opposition. The word 'British' is handed to every immigrant in the UK. Every ethnic minority is told to express their 'Britishness', and the term 'British' has become a very multi-cultural term. Indeed, the term 'British' to the Englishman in the street who resents multicultural Britain conjures up a number of displeasing thoughts in his mind:

- 1) He resents that 'British' is now handed out to every immigrant stepping onto our island.
- 2) The term 'British' to him suggests an all encompassing word that includes the Scottish, Welsh and Northern Ireland British citizens.

Of course, the same man is aware now that

the Scottish, Welsh and many in Northern Ireland have all distanced themselves from the English, therefore he instinctively chooses the term 'English' to describe himself rather than 'British'. And it goes further. Our core voters resent multicultural Britain, therefore as more and more ethnicities are referred to in multicultural propaganda as 'British', i.e. Black British, Asian British, etc, the term 'English' is a refuge for the racially aware voter. This is our territory!

### POPULAR DEMAND

So popular is the demand and English right to self-assertion and a parliament, that due to the huge numbers of complaints received by the Office for National Statistics (ONS) from English citizens complaining that the census does not permit them to choose 'English' as an ethnicity, it will now be available as an option in the next 2011 national census.


This is one issue that the BNP has always completely ignored as political meat. The West Lothian Question is basically as follows: *If power over Scottish affairs is*

*devolved to a Scottish Parliament, how can it be right that MPs representing Scottish constituencies in the Parliament of the United Kingdom still have the power to vote on equivalent issues affecting England and other parts of the UK, but not Scotland?*

There is huge resentment amongst English people over this issue, and no party has yet picked up this political gold nugget. The main reason we don't is that we naturally empathise with our Scottish comrades, and rightfully so, but what we must not do is allow blind sentimentality to rob us of huge political gain amongst the growing ethnically aware English people.

A general tension has also developed in England due to a pervasive feeling that Scotland is a subsidised nation from the ever-hit English taxpayer. I am not suggesting this is a good outlook, but I am highlighting the fact that this is an ever-rising tide of opinion. Amongst the middle-class English vote, there is tension over the *Barnett Formula*, which basically means that public expenditure in a certain geographical area is reflected equally and proportionally to the population in another geographical area. For instance, the commonly cited





example is as follows: English Top-Up

Fees are classified as public expenditure; therefore the proportionate equivalent was paid to Scotland (from UK-wide, but predominantly English taxes) for their students, however Scottish students studying at those universities would not have to pay extra.

These issues are awkward for British nationalists like myself and others in the BNP. However, using such issues is not a rebuttal of our Scottish counterparts, but merely a wise use of the English nationalist feeling that is spreading. Again, if we don't tackle and use these issues to our own purpose, some other English nationalist party will.

#### BNP AND ENGLISH NATIONALISM

The BNP has to walk a fine line when it approaches the issue of any campaign for an English Parliament. Hopefully, the BNP will turn the 2006 November Conference vote in favour of an English Parliament into serious political action. If we consistently

oppose it in our practical political life, and simply make a token conference-vote gesture in support of its formation, we will ultimately create a gulf between our traditional voter support and us. Then, quite likely a state-run, or at least heavily state-managed/controlled English nationalist party will enter the playing field and take our place as the only channel to vent feelings of second class English status, frustration with immigration, and generally act as a medium for decent patriotic/nationalist voters.

However, if we jump on to the English nationalist bandwagon too early, we still risk the danger of forming a gulf between our supporters and us. English independence is still not quite the 'issue of the day', but its day is coming, and it will likely come during the inevitable Scottish referendum on independence, and gather pace after independence is granted. On the other hand even if we do bide our time jumping on the bandwagon, there is certainly going to be another party to compete with us, so in truth, the BNP needs to start making serious inroads into the English nationalist feeling spreading across

England now, particularly in northern England towns where social deprivation and the cultural clash between native English people and ethnic minorities is at its worst.

The harsh truth is that the Scottish people are not going to vote BNP in any significant numbers. The argument often put forward by BNP members, and a perfectly understandable argument, is that the Scottish people *think* they are voting for a nationalist party in the form of the SNP, but if they only knew that the SNP was not a genuine nationalist party, then they would not vote SNP, and even perhaps would then vote BNP. Sadly this is not the case. In truth, if hypothetically the Scottish people all woke up tomorrow and were struck with a golden glow of insight and rejected the false flag nationalist SNP, they would still not vote BNP. Why? Because they are not interested in voting for a British nationalist party!

They are interested in voting for a Scottish nationalist party. We in the BNP would prefer to see the Scottish people vote for a nationalist party precisely like us. However, this is their fight. The Scots are more likely to form a genuine Scottish nationalist party than to vote for rule from London - even under the BNP. To think the Scottish would vote for us in any large numbers is sadly political naivety.

#### ENGLISH FRIENDLY LITERATURE

The BNP is going to have to make a shift towards English Nationalism. Crucially, our literature is going to have to be more English friendly, such as more use of the St George Cross, and we are going to have to incorporate our pro-English parliament stance at grassroots level, otherwise we will be pipped at the post by another false-flag party. Obviously this has other far-reaching consequences, such as the strategic debate on whether contesting Scottish elections to any real magnitude of manpower and financial cost is really worth it when there is clearly no chance of the proud, and inevitably independent, Scots to vote for a British nationalist party.

The English revolt is on the horizon. As British Nationalists we feel uneasy about this at times. We feel an emotional betrayal to our Scottish and to a lesser extent our Welsh counterparts. Politically however, we will become outdated unless we are at the forefront of the coming debate. Just as the BNP is at the forefront of the debate on Islam, multicultural Britain and immigration, so we must make the rising tide of English nationalism our issue. If we don't, a new party will sweep us away into political oblivion, but if we harness English nationalism, the BNP is set to move onto a new political platform that will guarantee a surge of new support from growing millions of disaffected and consciously English voters.



# Leeds by Example

The award for the most candidates fielded was won by Leeds for the first time this year. In 2007 Leeds BNP fielded a full slate of 33 candidates across the whole of Leeds City Council. The last few years had seen an almost continuous increase in candidates every year that climaxed in 2007. In 2000 and 2002 we fought just one seat, which by 2003 jumped to eight. An ever bigger jump was experienced in the following year, and 23 candidates were fielded in 2004. There was disappointment in 2006 with regards to candidates (though not with regards to the results, as 2006 gave us our first electoral victory), and only the same number of candidates could be fielded as in 2004. This was largely a result of there being a two-year break between local elections and people getting out of the habit of constant local campaigning. New candidates were found but various drop-outs duly dropped out and an increase in candidates could not be attained.

Yet just one year on and the goal of a full slate of candidates was achieved, with even a few candidates spare. A small number of candidates rang whimpering at the last minute to give their excuses for dropping out and not standing. These were immediately accepted and candidates from our reserve list were drafted in to fill their places how wonderful to be in such a position, and what a contrast from previous years, when last minute drop-outs were practically begged to allow us to use their name and address on a ballot paper (how proud our ancestors would be to see the quality of some of the people they have bequeathed this country to).

Fielding a full slate of candidates should be the aim of every BNP unit in the country. Doing so shows that we are major players, and separates us from the novelty joke parties that stand in a random smattering of seats (e.g. Respect, English Democrats, UKIP etc, and even the Green Party). Full slates increase the average BNP vote across your local authority (the real average vote, not the pretend average vote favoured by dreamers who only count the small number of wards that they stood in to work out the 'average'). Standing everywhere also tells you where there is potential for future growth. Sometimes a 'paper' ward (where no work is done at all aside from standing a candidate) will throw up a great result out of nowhere. Such a ward can then be properly targeted in future.

Opponents of the above strategy will draw attention to the small number of creatures that in the past have accidentally found their way into our party and onto the ballot paper for us, who ended up winning their seat and going on to disgrace the party and quit. Yet the way to avoid such specimens getting elected by accident is not for a BNP unit to withdraw into itself and field paltry slates of candidates. The correct way to avoid selecting the wrong type of people is to give prospective candidates plenty of opportunities all year round to show what kind of people they are. Door to Door paper-sales, which will be spoken about below, are an obvious example of a way in which to do this. Any serious prospective candidate will be willing and

capable of administering a paper round, which would not have to be big, on a monthly basis all year round. Individuals who do not have the basic motivation to perform a simple continuous task like this for the party are not the sort of people we would want standing in winnable wards.

The same can be said of people who do not have enough about them to maintain their party membership themselves (i.e. you have to go and get the money and send it off for them), people who are often un-contactable for long stretches and do not return phone calls or texts, and people who show no interest in attending branch meetings and national training events (like the Summer and Winter Schools). These may seem like small things, but a candidate who fails on some or all of the above is more likely to go on to disappoint than candidates who tick all the right boxes. If you are serious but you realise that one of the above may apply to you then put it right now and make sure that you are taken seriously as a potential candidate for the next set of elections.

The party's new Voting Membership system will also provide an obvious indicator of the calibre of a prospective candidate. Once the system is fully up and running, it is rather unlikely that we would want anyone standing for us in a winnable ward who is not either a Voting Member or striving to become a voting member.

» Of the six awards handed out to BNP units at this year's RWB that were due to quantifiable and non-subjective factors, Leeds branch was given four. This report from BNP Councillor Chris Beverley will set out the reasons behind the growth of Leeds BNP in the hope that such growth will be emulated by organisers and rank and file activists throughout the entire country. It is to those local officials and activists, the true backbone of this party, that this article is addressed.

RIGHT IMAGE: Leeds candidate Peter Hollings



Councillor Chris Beverley leads one of the branch's successful clean up teams



Once a full slate of candidates is achieved, in time, healthy competition between prospective candidates should hopefully ensue. This will go to ensure that only the best people are selected as candidates and nobody is asked to stand who does not clearly want to. Once this happens the days of asking people who could not care less to stand as paper candidates will happily be a thing of the past.

Yet Leeds did not win this award solely by virtue of the number of city council seats fought, as there are other local authorities, Birmingham for example, with more than 33 wards. Leeds also fielded a total of 13 Parish/Town council candidates. Is it therefore just that Leeds won this award, when there may have been other units that fielded more city seats? Of course! Because it is absolutely imperative that BNP units start taking parish and town councils seriously, and getting elected, or better, co-opted onto them in large numbers. We have made a slight move in this direction recently but this needs to be stepped up across the whole country. This subject is worth an article in itself, so I will not dwell on it here.

All in all, the pros of fighting full or large slates of candidates far out weight the cons, and this in itself will lead to all sorts of other growth.

In addition to the award for the most candidates, Leeds was awarded the prize for the most copies of *Freedom* sold. The very

fact that Leeds also won the prize for the most money raised is one example of the value of serious door to door *Freedom* rounds. Leeds does not have any millionaire backers or innovative fundraising schemes in place. That is not to say that we would not welcome both, but such are the facts. The single reason Leeds won the award for the most money raised is the fact that large quantities of *Freedom* are sold every month, come rain or shine. This provides the branch with a guaranteed monthly income that is not reliant on meetings being organised or well attended, the time of the year, or any other variables.

The political benefits of this should also not be understated. It is no coincidence that the one seat that was won in Leeds in 2006 Morley South was the ward in which most of the city's *Freedom*s were sold. In 2006 we overturned a margin of 1100 votes from 2004 to win the seat. Yet in all other seats in Leeds we were pretty much miles behind winning. Just one year on from this result and this had all changed, and instead of having one truly winnable seat, we now have a good handful more. It is no coincidence that a number of other wards have significantly increased their door to door sales of *Freedom*. The people who will be sitting with me in Leeds Civic Hall in the coming years will undoubtedly be the people who are now pounding the streets in their adoptive wards and, amongst other things, selling *Freedom*.

One thing I would say at this point, which most likely has not been stressed before, is that when selling *Freedom* on the doorstep, you should only really sell it to people who you genuinely think want it, who will read it, and are not just buying it because they feel they have to. People on your paper round who fall into the last category will end up hiding from you, avoiding you, and eventually dropping off the paper round, leaving an embarrassed and sheepish ex *VoF* subscriber, where there once was an enthusiastic BNP voter. Learn to distinguish between those people who just want to vote for us, and those who want a bit more and are ideal candidates to be added to a paper round.

#### INFLUX OF MEMBERS

Finally, with regards to winning the award for the most new members, this can be partly attributed to all the above factors. By standing everywhere the party's profile is raised considerably. Amongst other things, this leads people to join us in all corners of our local authorities who may otherwise have gone and joined UKIP or whatever other 'patriotic' parasite party (PPP) the Establishment has produced in their particular town or village.

And by selling *Freedom*s to our most enthusiastic supporters on a monthly basis we are increasing their political awareness and bringing them further and further into the BNP fold with every month that passes, without them even realising it. Often *Freedom* buyers will join the party a whole year or two after being added to your paper round, seemingly out of the blue. Thus *Freedom* rounds end up bringing in new membership dues from people who otherwise would probably never have joined.

Essentially, the relative success of Leeds BNP ('relative' because we should have been at this stage about 20 years ago, not now at our nation's absolute 11<sup>th</sup> Hour) can be attributed to a recognition that when it comes to our local organisation (as opposed to voter base), quality is more important than quantity. We do not provide a platform for talkers who do not engage in the sort of political work that is necessary for our party to progress. This goes for speakers at our meetings, as well as branch officials. Leeds is very much a doing-branch as opposed to a talking one.

To conclude, if this article achieves one thing it should be to illustrate that there is absolutely nothing special or unique about the success of Leeds BNP. There is nothing discussed above that cannot be replicated in every single unit in the country. Whether you are an official or just a hard-working activist involved in the seemingly endless struggle to take our party to victory, I hope there is at least something you have read here that will be of use to you in the future. Now go out and make sure that it is your unit that is collecting awards at next year's RWB!





# John Bean's Nationalist Notebook

## Running the Army On The Cheap Causes More Deaths

As we write it seems likely that our 5,000 troops based at Basra airport, with no hard cover, could be withdrawn from Iraq by the end of the year. We only hope that no more deaths will be added to the 170 who gave their lives, plus 700 seriously wounded, since the pointless war that served no British interest began.


The fight continues in Afghanistan, where British forces have achieved incredible results despite (as in Iraq) second rate equipment in several spheres. A classic example of this is the continued use of Land Rovers for patrols, which should have been phased out and replaced by the mine-protected Mastiff patrol vehicles, if only Brown had released more cash. Then we come to the great tragedy of the death of three soldiers of the Royal Anglian Regiment (known as 'the Vikings') hit by an American bomb. As far as we can see, this was not the fault of the American Air Force but due to inadequate British combat identification equipment. How can our armed forces expect anything but inferior equipment when after 10 years as Chancellor Brown has brought the proportion of GDP spent on defence to its lowest level since 1930. In the same period he doubled the expenditure on health and education. The results are not worth it!

The 'Vikings' and other regiments have been engaged in intense fighting, not seen since their grandfathers fought in Normandy and Korea, and are acting with equal bravery. Even though Iraq and Afghanistan are conflicts which do not serve British interests, the BNP certainly backs a special medal for recognition of the bravery and suffering of our troops.

Because of the high casualty rates in Iraq and Afghanistan there is now said to be a backlog of 1,570 compensation claims to support servicemen and women injured or killed in both campaigns. When such compensation is paid it hardly gives the victims a high lifestyle. The official tariff is £57,500 for the loss of a leg and just £8,250 for injuries associated with surviving a gunshot wound to the torso. Lance Bombardier Ben Parkinson, 23, lost both legs and suffered 36 other injuries, including permanent brain damage, when a landmine exploded in Helmand. He was awarded £152,000 payment, supposedly to give him medical care for the rest of his life. Contrast this with the £484,000 awarded by the MoD to an RAF computer clerk who suffered Repetitive Strain Injury (RSI) as a result of typing in numbers, poor lass. A serving soldier who comes home from the war suffering from "permanent severely impaired grip in both hands" would only get a one-off payment of £16,500.

Finally, let us not forget the extensive role played by the Territorial Army reservists in both campaigns. They represent 7% of our forces in Afghanistan and slightly less in Iraq. They are being worn to shreds by over-use and training days in the UK are down to a minimum. Responding to Brown's successive cuts over the past ten years, the MoD propose to cut funding for the cash-strapped TA by £5 million. Only after serious pressure did the Government recently agree that wounded reservists would be entitled to specialist aftercare like the Regulars.

The independent ex-servicemen's organisation ABEX ([www.abex.org.uk](http://www.abex.org.uk)) is also campaigning against the futile wars in Iraq and Afghanistan, and for the decent and properly funded treatment of our injured heroes.



*Whilst Labour commit our troops, they won't commit money to equip them!*

## Far Left Feels Powerless Against Rise of the BNP

The Marxist organisation Association of Workers Liberty (AWL) should be concerned about the implications of sections of the report on its website, [workersliberty.org/node/9005](http://workersliberty.org/node/9005), which quite clearly call for physical action against the BNP. However, seeing that in most cases the 'hard left' lacks the strength to do this as they grow weaker by the month as the BNP grows stronger, the report is more interesting in its recognition that the UAF/ Searchlight gang have failed with the campaign to depict the BNP as anti-semitic Nazis with a penchant for eating black babies for breakfast.

It criticises Searchlight and UAF because they were unable to halt the BNP's Red White and Blue Festival, and says this is partly the result of the two 'anti-fascist' groupings' black propaganda

campaign to present the BNP as a dwindling threat. AWL says: "The truth is that the BNP are increasing in strength and the anti-fascist left are both politically and organisationally seriously in disarray."

"The UAF did send out an e-mail through its union affiliates asking union members to vote in an online poll of *The Derby Evening Telegraph* about whether the event (the RWB Festival) should go ahead. Ironically the futile nature of that method of protest was revealed by the fact that the BNP internationally mobilised for more votes, by a margin of 4 to 1, in defense (*sic*) of their rights to hold this fascist rally."

The AWL report then suggests ways of stopping BNP growth, including a "genuine militant campaign." It included this comment: "Recognise that the BNP feeds not only off ignorance and racism but also genuine working class grievances about housing, low pay, jobs and war in Iraq."

## EU Tyranny At Brussels Birthday Party

The authorities in Brussels receive over 500 applications a year for permission to demonstrate or hold protest marches. With few exceptions - about one a year - permission is granted. In order to synchronise with the 6<sup>th</sup> anniversary of 9/11, an application was made for a demonstration in front of the European Parliament

buildings against the Islamification of Europe.

The Socialist Mayor of Brussels, Freddy Thielmans refused permission on the grounds that it might lead to violence between demonstrators and Muslims living in the area. Thielmans' Socialist Party holds 17 of the city council seats and ten of them are held by Muslims!

Thielmans is an atheist who hates Christians. On 2<sup>nd</sup> April



*Democracy in Brussels - how the 'left' deal with opposition MEPs.*



2005 he was attending an official cocktail party for a French delegation when the news of the death of Pope John Paul II reached him. On hearing the news he ordered "Champagne for everyone."

No doubt picking up on the 'champagne' theme, Filip Dewinter, leader of the Flemish nationalist Vlaams Belang (VB) party decided to celebrate his 45<sup>th</sup> birthday on September 11<sup>th</sup> in a main square in Brussels. Over 300 supporters turned up to toast Dewinter's birthday in champagne in a light-hearted manner. Within ten minutes Thielmans sent in his riot police who beat several of the birthday celebrators to the ground, including Frank Vanhecke (shown here) a VB MEP. Dewinter himself was arrested.

It is the Thielmans of Europe who now dominate the EU's political strata, and which Gordon Brown, rejecting Labour's promised Referendum, wants to take us into more fully

### Beware an Amnesty Under Any Name

*Identity* has said before that we must be on our guard against plans still held by the Government to grant an amnesty for those 200,000 illegal asylum seekers who they cannot track down, let alone the back log of a total of 450,000 illegals who should have been deported. It is a view that has the sympathy of the Lib-Dems and the Cameroons. To make it more acceptable it has been described as a "Strangers into Citizens" scheme. The vote-catching clout now exerted by the BNP is no doubt the reason why MPs have gone quiet on the issue of late, but there are rumblings that Brown and Co might try again to get an amnesty in via the back door.

Spain and Italy have had several amnesties in the past and they all led to more illegal immigration in the long-term.

In Italy thousands of Africans are still arriving on the offshore island of Lampedusa. A recent *Daily Telegraph* report described how the closing of an immigrant ghetto in Padua has raised fears of yet another amnesty for 550,000 immigrants. It said that those being evicted from Padua are being encouraged to go into Northern Europe. A Nigerian called Uchi was quoted as saying that the ghetto at Padua "was famous throughout Africa." When he arrived in Lampedusa after the closing of Padua, "they simply let me go and told me to try to leave Italy."

See also this month's editorial, page 3.

### China Tries to Colonise Africa

It is not jingoistic if we say that despite the greed enacted in the past by individuals and companies exploiting the mineral riches of Africa - King Leopold of the Belgians being a classic case - many administrators of the British and French empire territories did devote their lives to trying to improve the lot of the African. Be that as it may, the liberal-left intelligentsia of today do their best to indoctrinate a guilt complex amongst our youth into believing that everything about the British Empire in particular was evil and oppressive. Little, if anything at all, is said about the new 'Colonial' power that is trying to take over Africa.

China is building up an economic empire in Africa, and its trade there totalled nearly £30 billion last year. China now buys one third of its oil from Africa, mainly from Nigeria and Angola. Taking no notice of the slaughter in Dafur being carried out by the Muslim government in the Sudan, China has built a 900-mile pipeline there to carry oil to the coast, costing around £8 billion. Beijing has also acquired copper mines in Zambia, where it is stated that all health and safety regulations are ignored, textile factories in Lesotho and retail developments in almost every major township, including South Africa.

China is not bothered about the reputations of some of Africa's worst despotic rulers and will do deals with them all. Mugabe's party in Zimbabwe has received Chinese money for at

least 30 years and the Zanu-PF national headquarters in Harare was built by China.

Africans do not seem very happy at the growing power of their new rulers and a number of strikes have taken place amidst growing resentment. But it is not yet "Come back Britain, all is forgiven."

### World Food Shortage Imminent

Another example of forward thinking by BNP supporters comes with the following comments sent to the Scottish press and *Identity* by our regular contributor Alastair Harper:

"Is the news of an impending milk shortage in Scotland and the UK at large the first sign of the statistics of world overpopulation coming home to roost?"

"For some time now intellectual think tanks like the Optimum

Population Trust have been warning that brimming Third World populations will sooner than later outstrip our ability to feed the gaping mouths of the world's prolific young.

"The milk shortage in Scotland is a case in point and has several intimidating aspects.


"Ten years ago there were c. 2200 dairy farmers in Scotland, today there are c. 1500. With the predicted milk shortages world wide set to continue, these dairy farmers who have had the fortitude to persist will be, or should be, the winners. Who lives longest sees most. It is to be hoped however that those self-same rapacious agencies, the supermarkets, do not gouge a deeper profit than they do at present out of the advantage our remaining dairy farmers may enjoy by supplying a world need. They should, like their French counterparts, be organising an aggressive cooperative to face the threat of continuing exploitation.

"Milk imports are now forbiddingly expensive. The Chinese Government has issued an order that each child in that vast country has to receive 1/2 pint of milk a day. The rapidly industrialising nations of the East are set upon enjoying the dietary habits of the West and so multitudinous appetites east of Suez will soon have a devastating effect on diminishing resources. The world's population advances geometrically, our ability to produce resources advances arithmetically.

"This year the US has set aside 30% of next year's harvest to the production of ethanol for motor fuel! The world price of wheat particularly for this reason has risen at an unprecedented rate and must continue to do so as countries like Australia give up any attempt to grow wheat by reason of production costs and join the lengthening queues for imported grain.

"Until the thinking classes here start to consider the imbalance between rising Third World populations and shrinking world resources and much more importantly succeed in persuading world agencies like the UN to add birth control conditions in exchange for aid, disaster looms.

"By contrast there is a future for British agriculture and animal husbandry in all this gloom as never before, if only our farmers recognise their productive advantages and cooperatively exploit the imminent world dearth."



*The weight of a growing world is thinning our milk supplies.*



# Speakers' Corner

PO Box 97, Newmarket, Suffolk, CB8 1WT, England. E-mail: [identity@bnp.org.uk](mailto:identity@bnp.org.uk)

## LETTER OF THE MONTH

>> Soon We'll Be Seeking Answers

The SNP's political breakaway from England is obviously something many Scottish people are very happy with,

but is the SNP really a nationalist party?

In order to survive economically as a nation, Scotland must now strengthen ties with Europe, and as a result, the SNP must be considered inter-nationalist.

One only has to look at Southern Ireland to see that, despite the fact that the Irish folk have developed a thriving tourist industry, their beautiful Emerald Isle is being swamped by an influx of immigrants, rendering Dublin almost unrecognisable after the layers of gloss are peeled away.

The British people must learn from this. Despite devolution being a necessary step which will help preserve our various indigenous cultural backgrounds, this ideal must not be achieved at the expense of an EU takeover.

The British National Party is up against the might of uncaring global capitalists who are happy to pay foreign workers a pittance for their labour. But very soon, when the next recession hits, the people of this land will be looking for answers.

P. Reddall  
Market Drayton

*This letter was originally published in the Shropshire Star. It was chosen by the Editor as Letter of the Month and the author receives a year's subscription to Identity. When submitting a published letter please attach a copy of the newspaper's masthead.*

>> Driving Out Rural Young

I was interested to read the item in August's *Nationalist Notebook* "Migrant Workers Drive Out Rural Young". There are two things going on here. Firstly, the sale of village council houses under the Tories' 1980 Housing Act has vastly reduced the availability of affordable and social housing for the indigenous British population of the countryside. Secondly, the intense pressure of the big supermarkets on farmers to force ever lower prices for their produce, thus compelling farmers to employ the cheapest possible foreign labour instead of local youngsters.

A result of this is that the Government now appears to have recognised that the shortage of affordable and social housing in the countryside (a shortfall of 110,000 homes) ought to be remedied. If it actually happens, presumably it will be part of Brown's new drive to provide three million new homes by 2020 - 40% of them for new

immigrants.

So, as young British people disappear from our countryside, any guesses as to who will end up in the new village social housing?

J. A. Bell  
Slough

>> BNP And Tax



I have been active for the party for three years and there is one major policy I have not seen in our leaflets: Tax!

If we are to gain more interest from voters surely we should put tax on our party policy leaflets. I attended the North Wales meeting at the end of March where Nick

Griffin was speaking and I managed to ask him a question over Tax. His reply was encouraging in that the party would radically slash, if not actually abolish, Income Tax. This is common sense, particularly if we pull out of the EU which would save us millions and the British worker would thereby save on his Tax.

I look forward to a Tax policy on our leaflets, which I bet would gain more crucial votes.

James Partridge  
Flintshire

*The BNP's newly released Summary Manifesto deals with this issue and our new immediate policy is to raise the threshold of income tax to £15,000 a year. As for a leaflet on tax, there has been one available from the Publicity Department for over a year now! - Editor.*

>> Sending Our Money Abroad

An indication of the growing number of East Europeans, Portuguese and Africans we now have in north Norfolk and south Lincolnshire are the MoneyGram leaflets in post offices and other buildings. They come in all languages offering to send money earned by cheap labour (and money given by us in handouts) to some 170 different countries (Examples were enclosed - Editor).

It does seem pretty flagrant to illustrate how we are being debauched and bled white.

R. Tandy  
King's Lynn

>> Gang Murders

The extent to which the Government, and the police, have lost control of the streets and the ability to keep decent people safe has been highlighted by the recent sickening gang murders. Only 48 hours after little Rhys Jones was murdered two other people were shot in Liverpool.

In a perverted turnabout, innocent people are now receiving the death penalty whilst murderers are getting off lightly with manslaughter charges.

The Lib-Lab-Con politicians wring their hands about the latest sorrow of the day, but nothing ever gets done. They just prefer to bleat the usual drivel about social

They  
TAB  
VERY child  
ied against  
ported last  
The Depart  
Welsh r  
t Blun  
race sh

AVID Blunkett  
yesterday by  
at the Welsh  
anti-racism me  
The Home Se  
mass ethnic  
others should be  
ed in their care  
in quipped: "Col  
is succeeded  
ing Welsh.  
Murder Jackar  
so has domina  
art for more  
cade is black a  
in Cardiff.  
Mr Blunkett  
sing an Anne  
after Welsh n  
took offence  
during a mee  
ack and Asian

BNP  
elec  
250  
FR

driver racially  
ad assaulted by  
overed nearly  
yesterday.  
Paragharan was  
the ground and  
ed an eight foot  
in wrist damage  
cultural "cultural

n h  
syl

is returned today  
convert a hotel in K  
center for more than  
Office admitted the  
most residents and  
which could lead to the  
project. Work last  
day at the Commons  
the, with the first  
to arrive in nine days  
son minister Tuesday  
civil servants for  
use further by an  
dependent inquiry  
of the National Arch  
ONASD, the branch  
responsible for the p  
rately strong language  
she said she was  
the way the arch  
the told the BBC  
because the process  
the said she was  
that HASS

00  
rkis

is a rival Kurd  
last November.  
ings are fighting  
control of the  
battle - involv  
off one man des  
life support mach  
us. Family are  
of 16. Wolves  
tentacles spin  
supplies in Brit  
members were  
their limitation  
road in Edwara  
raided a  
the still believe  
the crime down

Mil  
hou

BY SUZANNE S  
FORMER naval  
forced into a new  
4 asylum-seekers  
th revealed yesterday.  
If planning permis  
400 men would be  
holidays near Grop  
their claims are  
The site is smaller  
ing pursued by nine  
Pains for centres to  
luggers on former  
cove. Oxfordshire  
down in Nottingham  
hict of planning  
migrant Min  
ghes said yesterday  
by he some conce  
ing near the site  
commodation centre  
level centres

ALI  
SY

A SEC  
fighter in  
he site  
in the en  
Wall. K  
found B

P22

free flat beca

COME

ANGER



problems. David Cameron even wants us to 'Hug a Hoodie'. It is their soft approach to crime which has contributed to the lawless society that we now live in.

The gangsters must be laughing at them. They see compassion as a sign of weakness. Greater force is the only thing that will make them understand. They have neither conscience nor respect. Low lifes are now more frightened of gang leaders than they are of the Police, as was proven by the silence about poor Rhys's murder. The Police must regain their teeth. They need to call a state of emergency. Kick down doors, disperse mobs of a certain number, ban clothing which is meant as a disguise and actively pursue a shoot to kill policy for people carrying illegal firearms. Rappers who glorify gun usage should also be prosecuted.

The weak Lib-Lab-Con parties have had decades to deal with crime and have shown that they haven't got a clue how to keep the streets safe. In the name of God they must go and make way for somebody who can.. A party with a zero tolerance to crime and the criminal: the British National Party.

**Trevor Agnew**  
E-mail

## » Britain's Gift To The Third World



Repatriation is usually associated with punitive action, such as deportation due to illegal entry, criminal behaviour or even racist discriminatory policies by a government. The Western liberal media likes to portray it as the latter and make misleading and often arrogant judgements about standards of justice in other nations in order to restrict repatriation.

However, immigrants from the Third World especially will have largely enjoyed a civilised and democratic environment with free services at the point of use, employment training, education for their children and benefits for their aged relatives. None of which could be expected as a norm in their countries of origin. Indeed, they may have become successful businessmen or professionals and amassed considerable capital and pension rights. Thus their repatriation to their country of origin is in fact a *Gift*.

Having such transferable skills, qualities and advantages can aid the transformation of the poor Third World nation desperate for such talent into developing an economy that can compete in the world at large.

Ridding the world of poverty can only be achieved by nations using their own resources. In this respect the West's policy

of recruiting from the poor south to satisfy the need for cheap recruits for industry and the public services is an amoral policy worthy of wholesale condemnation. It denudes those nations of the talent needed for this aim and depletes their resources such that they somehow stay permanently poor and dependent on Western aid.

**John-Gregory Flinn  
Co. Durham**

## The Church And Homosexuality



Back in your May issue Dr Louisa Beckett-Smith stated that she does not recall any senior churchman in the West condemning homosexuality, although it is forbidden in the Bible.

I am a member of the BNP and a committed Christian. As an Anglican I belong to three Christian societies that openly condemn homosexuality as a degrading act against God's holy law. Church Society, Reform, and Christian Institute all speak frankly and strongly against this act. Various Bishops belonging to these groups have time and again spoken out against it.

Having said that, I have been criticised by various member of the clergy for belonging to these groups.

**Mrs Wendy Mower**  
**Leeds**

## Penalty Of Restricting Our Birthrate

In the late sixties we were told that we would not need so many people in Britain, because automation and then computers would be doing all the work by the year 2000. At the same time the birth pill came on the market. It was said that this was originally designed to keep the numbers of children down in the Third World and in particular in Africa, where poverty and overpopulation went hand in hand.

Now we are told that we do in fact need immigration from the Third World not only to do jobs, but also to 'enrich our society'. Yet if we had ignored our former leaders and had more children anyway (and had not been taken in by the offer of abortion of healthy babies), then instead we could have done quite nicely with our own quantity of native workers!

When the 'enrichment of our society' means in reality an invasion by a strident alien creed, then I must support the BNP. Certainly, there are only a small number of Muslims here who are fanatical, but then if

you are a Muslim, how much resistance would it take not to be quiescent with a religion which is based on conquest and forced compliance with its creed?

## Paul Snell Derby

## Why Import Skilled Immigrants?

I am amazed that non-Labour papers such as *The Daily Mail* can still claim that Britain needs more immigrants. We are bursting at the seams already. Where are we going to put them all?

Certainly, it is very nice to attract wealthy people, but not at any cost. Perhaps such people should have been targeted in the first place, but now we are so full it is too late.

Instead of importing skilled workers, we should be concentrating on proper education for our own youngsters to enable them to acquire the necessary skills. As for proclaiming Britain as a land of immigrants, sadly it has become all too true!

**Iris Graham**  
London

## » Muslims Fail The British Test



Can a Muslim be a Muslim-Briton? I would think not, because the Koran prohibits it.

Theologically, no because his allegiance is to Allah, the moon god of Arabia.

Religiously, no because no other religion is accepted by hi Allah except Islam (Koran 2:256).

Scripturally, no because his allegiance is to the five pillars of Islam and the Koran.

Geographically, no because his allegiance is to Mecca, to which he prays five times a day.

Socially, no because his allegiance to Islam prohibits him to make friends with Christians or Jews (5:51).

Politically, no because he must submit to the mullahs who preach the annihilation of Israel and the West.

Domestically, no because he is instructed to marry four women and beat and scourge his wife when she disobeys him (4:34).

Philosophically, no because Islam, Mohammed and the Koran do not permit freedom of religion and expression. Democracy and Islam cannot co-exist (show me an Islamic democracy!).

**Charles Wentworth**  
E-mail



The entire world is on the verge of a financial and economic crash with no historical precedent, says Christopher Story. Not a single currency, portfolio, national government, bank or financial instrument will escape. We are teetering on the brink of a calamity. A sizeable number of massive institutions will go to the wall. There will be global financial and economic chaos.

There is no country in the world that lacks derivatives and CDOs in its national financial sector portfolio. There is no country in the world which will not suffer extreme pain as a consequence of the collapse of values, the disorderly pricing of currencies, or any of the other multiple variables concomitant with so-called globalisation. There will be no more talk of globalisation: it has been associated with a period of extreme excess, built on the back of financial theft so great that when the crash comes every single holder of wealth will experience wealth destruction and other shocks, with some values being pulped and others going to mere fractions of their numbers at the outset of July 2007. In the near future 1929 will look like a pleasant experience by comparison.

US intelligence operatives associated with the world's two most egregious

financial criminals, Vice President R. Cheney and President George W. Bush Jr., says Story, are reported still to be messing with the arrangements for a settlement. The risk they are running is that their own wealth will go to hell like the assets of everyone else.

There have been arrests of a number of bankers around the world, but while this is encouraging, all this is happening much, much too late in the day to prevent the likelihood of a calamity. The 'powers that be' allowed this situation to drift on from sub-crisis to sub-crisis while we warned the whole world (on the basis of premium intelligence which we have been privileged to receive thanks to the Editor's arms'-length relationship with Ambassador Leo Wanta and Michael C. Cottrell, M.S.) of the extreme gravity of the situation.

Now we find that those who are genuinely trying to procure the absolutely necessary outcome are having to contend with people with axes to grind who, like mechanics with no knowledge of what they are supposed to be doing, are applying screwdrivers and spanners in the works, unscrewing this, tightening up that, leaving washers and components lying on the deck, and generally seeking to direct the outcome to suit themselves - without even

understanding that their contributions are jeopardising their own prospects along with those of the whole of humanity.

Such sources of unnecessary delay are being overcome, but there is no time left and the tinkering has to stop. Everything is taking longer than anticipated, and time has run out. Quite simply, either the Wanta Settlement (*for details see panel opposite - Editor*) is completed (nearly 14 months late), or:

- The Euro System will disintegrate as the US dollar continues its collapse and the Euro goes through the roof;
- The United States' de facto world empire will collapse;
- The United States, reliant on 'just-in-time' delivery having allowed its industrial sector to be hollowed out, will be unable to manufacture anything much, since it will remain dependent on imported components which, given the collapse of the US dollar, and the refusal of foreigners to continue buying US Treasury securities, it will be unable to afford;
- Foreign predators will buy up remaining US assets at fire-sale prices;
- Foreign predators will buy up remaining UK assets at fire-sale prices;

# Global Capitalist Bomb Waiting to Explode

» This is a summary of a report by Christopher Story FRSA, Editor and Publisher, *International Currency Review*, World Reports Limited, London and New York: [www.worldreports.org](http://www.worldreports.org). It was sent to *Identity* in July, a month prior to the turmoil that has since affected the international banking system and world-wide stock markets. We did not publish it earlier because of our doubts on its veracity. Events since July have removed some of those doubts and whatever exaggerations may remain it is still a valid expose of the inherent dangers of the global capitalist system.




# The Wanta Settlement

Leo Wanta is a former American Ambassador. During the Presidency of Ronald Reagan he is said to have generated some 27 trillion dollars (on paper) in funds for the nation. Leo Wanta said that after Reagan left office the money was 'hijacked' by President George H. Bush ('W's dad') and then Bill Clinton in an elaborate offshore banking scheme.

Apparently under the present President \$1.6 trillion has indeed been placed in the U.S. Treasury as taxes paid by AmeriTrust. According to Wanta, \$4.5 trillion is being held by Bank of America in Richmond, Virginia, as the Federal Reserve Board is illegally blocking the release of the money to the U.S. treasury and thereby the American people.

Interestingly, the *International Currency Review* has been the only outlet for the story in Britain so far.

- 
- The United Kingdom - the underlying macroeconomic numbers of which are worse proportionately in many respects than those of the United States, following the gross mismanagement of Britain's finances by Gordon Brown - will be reduced to penury: it has virtually no international reserves, a small productive economy, a colossal services economy, a huge parasitical public sector, and depends for its solvency on the City of London, which will be decimated in the crash;
  - The British economy will be flattened anyway, as sterling paradoxically goes through the roof, as it is now doing in parallel with the US dollar's decline, and because Britain's macroeconomic data have never been worse;
  - Householders with excessive debt will suffer excruciating consequences;
  - Equity prices will implode worldwide;
  - Derivatives values will go to zero (literally);
  - The prices of all exotic financial products will follow;
  - Financial institutions will disintegrate overnight;
  - Central banks will be unable to handle the situation, yet will panic, printing money on a scale with no precedent (if they have time, which is unlikely);
  - Unemployment will soar around the world;
  - The Chinese economy will collapse, with 40% of its state-owned enterprises currently continuing to make losses and its foreign markets disintegrating;
  - The East Asian economies will experience conditions that will be liable to throw some of them back to pre-industrial living standards;
  - Germany, with its huge derivatives

exposure, will be severely impacted, and has no defence against predatory hedge funds seizing control of vast swathes of the German economy - just as predatory German organisations have acquired strategic holdings amounting almost to a political stranglehold of the British economy in recent years;

- Germany's secret DVD-driven long-range hegemony strategy will crumble;
- The southern European EU 'Member States', decimated by the artificially high Euro, will exit the socialist European Union Collective one after the other;
- Africa will be abandoned and will become a continental Zimbabwe;
- Latin America will be able to export more given the linkages of its currencies to the collapsing US dollar, but given global conditions life will not be easy. Severe financial problems will inevitably overwhelm the entire region;
- Rates of inflation will soar into the 20s, and will rapidly reach hyper inflationary levels in some countries, with escalating inflation trends liable to be curbed only by declines in Gross Domestic Product, as in Argentina some years ago;
- There will be no bolt-holes for 'funny money';
- Holders of stolen gold will probably be 'liquidated'.
- Soup kitchens will sprout everywhere (as we warned on 2nd September last year [see Archive]: no-one was listening).

## ON THE BRINK

That the world is teetering on the brink of financial and economic calamity was even recognised by the Bank for International Settlements, which warned in its 77th Annual Report, published in Basle on 24th June 2007, that "virtually nobody foresaw the Great Depression of the 1930s, or the crises which affected Japan and Southeast Asia in the early and late 1990s. In fact, each downturn was preceded by a period of non-inflationary growth exuberant enough to lead many commentators to suggest that a 'new era' had arrived... Behind each set of concerns lurks the common factor of accommodating financial conditions. Tail events affecting the global economy might at some point have much higher costs than is supposed."

Beyond these widely cited paragraphs, the Bank for International Settlements' experts elaborated other general anxieties. For instance, on page 130 of its Annual Report, the BIS warned without explaining that hedge funds are in many cases 'vents' for the collectivisation of fiat money created illegally off the back of stolen or diverted financial assets, mainly those, (again according to Story) of which Ambassador Leo Wanta is the sole Principal:

"Higher levels of leverage combined with relative opaqueness have raised concerns that any future problems in the



sector could spread widely across the financial system. Counterparties may have underestimated the riskiness of individual funds and the overall fragility of markets where the funds are active."

"Given the key role that a benign credit environment has been playing in boosting the performance of the financial sector over the past years, a turn in the credit cycle (which immediately followed, or coincided with, publication of the latest BIS Annual Report) represents a significant risk to its outlook. Investment strategies that are predicated on continuing low spreads and rising asset prices are critically exposed to an increased incidence of defaults... A turn in the credit cycle is arguably within the expectations of market observers... Signs of strain in housing finance markets have multiplied, primarily in the United States, and household indebtedness remains a concern in many jurisdictions as retail loan delinquencies have risen."

### COLLAPSE OF CONFIDENCE

In its general macroeconomic analysis, the Bank for International Settlements tiptoes round the issue addressed in this commentary the horrendous implications of a generalised collapse of confidence arising from continued frustration of the Wanta Settlement and Plan by malevolent or incompetent cadres within US intelligence. The Annual Reports of the BIS share with the academic literature the weakness that none of the people who write these report appear ever to have taken account of the possibility that financial institutions and policy might be devolved into the hands of organised crime - which explains why they are having such a very hard time understanding the real causes of the prospective millennial calamity that humanity now faces.

When the central bankers' central bank uses language invoking the possibility that big investment and commercial banks "might be stuck with a warehouse of depreciating assets in turbulent times," it is

obliquely referring to the situation we are describing, without being specific.

This particular passage ends as follows: "The fact that banks are now increasingly providing bridge equity, along with bridge loans, to support the still growing number of corporate mergers and acquisitions, is not a good sign. A closely related concern is the possibility that banks have, either intentionally or inadvertently, retained a significant degree of credit risk on their books."

Speaking on the 15th July this year at the World Economic Forum on East Asia, Tharman Shanmugaratnam, Singapore's Second Minister for Finance, warned that financial contagion will erupt where it is least expected, and will trigger a 'vicious' aftermath.

"The international community", he added, faces a genuine risk of "economic chaos arising from major imbalances in the global financial system... Complacency has set in after years of uninterrupted global

growth and there is no evidence to suggest a self-correcting mechanism is in place to avert a major shock to the global financial system."

### SELF-CORRECTING MECHANISM

On the contrary, says Story, the self-correcting mechanism exists and has been in place for 14 months already: it is the Wanta Plan; and today's threat of global economic and financial chaos arises directly and specifically from the gross incompetence, greed and ham-fisted frenzy of self-enrichment exhibited by corrupt holders of the highest offices in the United States, and NOT, in the first instance, as a direct consequence of the excesses and weaknesses nevertheless accurately identified by the Bank for International Settlements and Singapore's Second Minister for Finance.

This time round, it will not be the case that "virtually nobody foresaw the Great



**Are we headed for another Great Depression thanks to Bush and Clinton?**





Depression of 2007" - but rather that the certifiable financial saboteurs in the United States, bedevilled by their own corruption and greed, prevaricated while they sought both to enrich themselves and to fill gigantic holes in the accounts of financial institutions that have been, and continue to be complicit in the biggest organised criminal assault on, and exploitation of, the known private financial assets of others, in world history.

Without the Wanta Settlement, expectations worse than those hinted at in the typically diplomatic language specialised in by the Bank for International Settlements, will come upon the whole world 'unexpectedly' at any time.

But with every day that passes, and with every new variant on the cynical 'preparing to settle' syndrome that manifests itself, the likelihood of a ghastly 'train wreck', affecting - to borrow Her Majesty The Queen's phrase - 'the whole of humanity', increases exponentially. At the beginning of this month, the Editor (Christopher Story) was told that "we'll be lucky to get through July without a crash." And when The Queen urged the Group of Eight nations in June to expedite the Wanta Settlement 'for the sake of the whole of humanity', her words were (typically) most carefully chosen.

It is hard for rational observers to believe that there are operatives out there, in high places, who are actually engineering a global calamity; and anyone suggesting as much would be irresponsible. But that's what this endless prevarication over the Wanta Settlement implies.

And with every day that passes, the likelihood of a terrible 'train wreck' intensifies. We risk waking up one morning and finding that, thanks to the bovine intransigence of the crass idiots who are in charge, it has happened.

#### THE DANCE HALL OF THE TITANIC

Don't ever say you weren't warned. Had the Wanta Plan been implemented with effect from June 2006, instead of being hijacked by Henry M. ('Conflict') Paulson Jr. and his co-conspiring associates named respondents to Ambassador Leo Emil Wanta's Petition for a Writ of Mandamus [Archive, 5th July 2007] the United States' financial position would already have been immensely improved, the undeserving and somnolent US Republican Party would be in a near-impregnable position for the forthcoming presidential election, and any question of the US empire disintegrating before our eyes would be dismissed as eyewash.

We pointed all this out in baby language this time last year, while the whole world was fast asleep.

Is anyone listening, as 'the whole of humanity' faces the predicted catastrophe? Or are most of us still admiring the flock wallpaper in the dance hall of the Titanic?

## Fight Continues for BNP Teacher

Mark Walker, the County Durham BNP teacher, currently suspended from his job at Sunnysdale Community College in Shildon, County Durham, was thrilled to see the amount of support he received at the Civil Liberty demonstration outside the school gates early last month, prior to a further meeting in the series of 'investigatory' meetings following his suspension from his job back in March. Local BNP members and Solidarity trade union members were also there in support.

About 100 people, mainly local, supported the demonstration throughout the day. At the height of the demo around 50 people, including parents, pupils and ex-pupils showed their support for Mark and made clear their disgust at Mark's treatment by the school authorities.

Sarah Brown, 20, said: "He was the best teacher I had at Sunnysdale. You could always ask him for help in work or with problems."

Mother-of-four Diane Tweddle said: "He has taught all my kids and they respect him, and say he is one of the best and want him back."

The demo heard short speeches from Kevin Scott, the Director of Civil Liberty, Adam Walker (Mark's brother), Tom Linden, a Solidarity member from North Yorkshire, and Pat Harrington, the General

Secretary of the Solidarity trade union who is representing Mark at the ongoing 'investigatory' meetings with the school authorities.

After the September meeting, Pat Harrington said: After an hour-and-a-half meeting, we made no significant progress, so the case could prove long-running." Civil Liberty added: "The fight goes on!"

#### BBC NEWS COVERAGE

Rather surprisingly the local BBC news coverage was reasonably accurate and devoid of smears. It confirmed that protestors included parents and pupils who supported Mark Walker. It also pointed out that Mark had received 513 votes when he stood for the BNP in the Spennymoor ward for Sedgfield Borough Council in May.

The BBC also reported the comments from the BNP's media spokesman, Dr Phil Edwards. "The reason he's had this action against him was because he allegedly looked at the BNP website on the school's computer."

"It is disgraceful that anyone should be suspended for looking at the website of a perfectly legal political party."

"We are certain this action would not have been taken against someone who had looked at the websites of the Commission for Racial Equality or the Green Party."





**Donate By Credit or Debit Card - Call 0871 050 0234**

# GENERAL ELECTION APPEAL

There is a strong possibility of an early General Election being called this autumn. Many indicators point to this with Brown being tempted to cut and run early before the economy hits the rocks. Whether the General Election is this autumn, next spring or whenever, the BNP has devised a coherent tactical plan to meet the situation and that conforms to our overall strategy.

In brief - we will fight as many seats as possible! Whilst the branches will be paying for their deposits and leaflets, there will still be a huge burden on HQ who will be paying for the target seat in Barking, the TV broadcast, the election launch, the manifesto and numerous other administrative costs. Help us make this our best General Election yet!



Yes, I want to help the British National Party to fight the General Election and make sure that we achieve our best results yet!

☐ £10

☐ £25

☐ £1000

☐ £50

☐ £100

☐ Other: .....

Name: .....

Address: .....

Please send to: The Secretary, PO Box 158, Deeside, CH5 2WW

Please send an SAE if you require an acknowledgement for sums of £25 or less

## Subscribe To Identity

The following rates are for 6 or 12 issues respectively (please tick box as applicable):

British Isles £17.50 ☐ £35 ☐

Overseas air mail Europe £23.20 ☐ £46.40 ☐

Overseas air mail Middle East, North and South America £26.90 ☐ £53.70 ☐

Overseas air mail Australasia, Far East £26.90 ☐ £53.70 ☐

**Please Note:** These overseas rates apply if remittance is in Pounds Sterling. If you are paying in any other currency, an additional charge of £4.50 is required with cheques and money orders and £2.50 with banknotes.

Name

Address

Postcode

Telephone

E-mail

All cheques etc should be made payable to 'British National Party' or 'British Heritage' and sent to PO Box 87, Ossett, Wakefield, WF5 8WN. You can subscribe online at [www.bnp.org.uk](http://www.bnp.org.uk). Photocopies can be used.

### Identity Bulk Order Rates

5 - £9.25; 10 - £17; 15 - £24; 20 - £31; 25 - £38; 30 - £44; 40 - £58; 50 - £71; 60 - £84;  
80 - £110; 100 - £135

**Postage** - £2.15 for 5; £3.90 for 10; £7.75 for 15 - 25; £8.80 for 30 - 40;  
£10.00 for 50; £10.80 for 60; £12.55 for 80-100



# of ism



David Hannam looks at the growing rise of English nationalism, and how this new political movement will affect the UK in terms of how we approach the growth of Scottish nationalism and the need to accept that in terms of our electoral potential England and Scotland are already entirely different countries.

that will have huge implications for the survival of the BNP. It is not sufficient for the party to make the token gesture in support of the establishing of an English parliament but, as this issue garners support and interest, we need to espouse our position more clearly to the public when engaging with them.

The rise of modern Scottish Nationalism has created a headache for the ideologically sound BNP. All recent polls

show an e...  
from the S...  
independe...

Many...  
state that...  
is a fabric...  
the suppor...  
there. Thi...  
head of th...  
potential...

## THE RISE

The quest...  
Nationalis...  
is it simpl...  
of new de...

## What

A recent...  
showed th...  
Q. Would...  
country?

Approv...  
Disapp...  
Don't k...

Another...  
Devolved...  
shouldn't...  
said 'No'...  
thought t...

Here bel...  
"In a Ref...  
- I agree...  
- I do not...  
- Don't K...

From the...  
Scottish...  
late 2006...  
far more...  
establish...

Date of Poll
Feb-07
Jan-07
Nov-06
Jan-00
Apr-99
Mar-99
Feb-99
Jan-99
Nov-98
September 1998 (25th)
September 1998 (5th)
July 1998 (31st)
June 1998 (29th)
Jun-98
June 1998 (5th)